The ISAF Classes Committee met at 09:00 – 18:00 hours on 6 November 2010 at the Divani Caravel Hotel, Athens, Greece.

Please refer to the ISAF website www.sailing.org for the details of the submissions on this agenda.

1. Opening of the Meeting 2
2. Minutes of the Previous Meeting 2
3. Submissions 2
4. Recommendations Not Based on Submissions 14
5. Olympic Commission Report 15
7. Any Other Business 15

Present:
Jeff Martin – Chairman
Helen Mary Wilkes – Vice Chairman
Corinne Rolland-McKenzie – ICC Events Rep
David Brookes – ICC Equipment Committee Rep
Ceri Williams – ICC Windsurfing Representative
Richard Hart – ICC Equipment Control SC Rep

2.4 Metre – Karl Wessberg
12 Metre – Ken Kershaw
29er - Jen Morgan-Glass
39er - Malav Shroff
420 – Pedro Rodrigues
470 - Luissa Smith
505 – Ethan Bixby
Access 2.3 – David Staley (PM Only)
Byte – Ian Bruce
Cadet - Steve Profitt
Europe – Paul Depoorter
Farr 40 – Philip Tolhurst(AM) - Wolfgang Schaefer(PM)
Fireball – Andrew Davies
Flying Dutchman – Stephen Parry
Flying Junior – Alberto Barenghi
Formula Experience - Marc Cardon
Formula 18 – John Williams
Funboard - Bruno de Wannemaeker
H-Boat – Jurgen Tieman
International 14 – Colin Smith
TP52 – Rob Weiland
Zoom 8 - Juha Rushola

Alberto Predieri – (Vice-President) part of meeting
ISAF Technical and Offshore Department

Apologies:
Michael Grandfield – ICC Class Rules Representative

Please refer to the ISAF Council minutes of 11-13 November 2010 on the ISAF website for the final Council decision on all recommendations and opinions contained within these minutes. The final decision on all submissions is the ISAF Council. Where an 'opinion' to 'reject' or 'approve' is shown against a submission in the minutes this is only the opinion of the ISAF Classes Committee (ICC). The final decision by the ISAF Council may be different from the ICC after hearing the opinions of other committees.
1. **Opening of the Meeting**

A welcome and introduction from the Chairman.

The chairman explained how the ICC representation to Council is maintained by him sitting as a voting member at the Council table.

The chairman also explained how voting representation of classes is made at the ICC, referring to the request for a Constitution Interpretation from the Hobie Class (001-09) that states: "The Constitution Committee considered the concerns raised and confirmed that each ISAF class has the right to sit at the Classes committee, and therefore, if the Hobie Class(es) wish to have six votes, then six individual people need to attend."

2. **Minutes of the Previous Meeting**

(a) **Minutes**

The minutes were noted of the ISAF Classes Committee meeting of 7 November 2009 (circulated and approved after the meeting). The minutes can be downloaded at www.sailing.org/meetings

(b) **Minutes Matters Arising**

Ceri Williams raised the question regarding the voting of November 2009 Minute 3 regarding the policy of ICC commenting on Olympic Events and Equipment. Ceri Williams noted that there were 19 votes cast but 26 people listed as attending the meeting. It was pointed out that not all people were sitting at the table at the time of voting. Ceri Williams then asked what is a majority in this case? Philip Tolhurst (also Constitution Committee Chairman) explained that it was 50% of the people sitting at the table at the time of the vote.

3. **Submissions**

(a) **081-09 Course Format - Middle Gates.**

The Committee noted deferred submission 081-09 from the Hungarian Yachting Association and the Russian Yachting Federation.

The committee agreed with the recommendation from the Olympic Classes Subcommittee and to maintain their opinion from last year.

**Opinion: Reject**

The ICC maintain their opinion from last year to reject the submission.

(b) **082-09 Tracking System, Electronic Control of the Starting Line and Course - Invitation to Tender**

The Committee noted deferred submission 082-09 from the Hungarian Yachting Association and the Russian Yachting Federation.

**Opinion: Reject**

The ICC support the intent of the submission, but recommend rejecting the submission as the systems are still developing and require further work, especially regarding control of startlines. The ICC urges that tracking developments should continue as quickly as possible.

(c) **086-09 ISAF Events – ISAF Sailing World Cup**
The Committee noted deferred submission 086-09 from the Polish Yachting Association.

The committee agreed with the recommendation from the Olympic Classes Sub-committee and to maintain their opinion from last year.

**Opinion: Reject**

The ICC supports the recommendation from the Olympic Classes Sub-committee as follows:

The Paralympic classes are not excluded and Women Match Racing equipment is flexible.

(d) 088-09 Tracking System (SWC and Grade 1/2) - Introduction of Standards for the Usage of Tracking Systems or Tracking and Race Management

The Committee noted deferred submission 088-09 from the Deutscher Segler-Verband (DSV)

**Opinion: Reject**

The ICC supports the recommendation from the Olympic Classes Sub-committee to reject the submission.

(e) 001-10 ISAF Constitution – Cancellation of Membership

The Committee noted submission 001-10 from South African Sailing

Luissa Smith noted that an attachment to the submission mentioned in the reasoning was not available. Philip Tolhurst noted that it was Council who has the final decision on membership. The Chairman mentioned that we should support the submission because Council is the final approval body and that the Executive may suspend membership as a temporary measure.

On a vote of 12 in favour, 2 against and 17 abstentions it was agreed to recommend approving the submission with the following note:

**Opinion: Approve**

Support the principle of the submission in that Council should decide on cancellation of membership.

(f) 002-10 ISAF Constitution - Athletes Representative on Council

The Committee noted submission 002-10 from the Executive Committee.

The ICC supports the recommendation from the Olympic Classes Sub-committee to approve the submission.

On a vote of 37 in favour, 0 against and 0 abstentions it was agreed to recommend to approve the submission.

**Opinion: Approve**

(g) 003-10 ISAF Constitution – Limitations of the Powers of Council

The Committee noted submission 003-10 from the International Funboard Class Association.

Bruno de Wannemaeker spoke in favour of the submission in that it gives more power to the expert committees. Philip Tolhurst noted that this submission would be difficult to implement if committees gave differing recommendations. Helmut Czasny mentioned it
could be the reporting committee in this instance. Rob Weiland mentioned that this
would encourage Council to be more careful in overturning a recommendation. Jeff
Martin observed that you see few Council members attend committee meetings but
that some Committees lack geographic spread. George Wossala mentioned that this
would shine a light on the critics of Council but the Committee should not approve the
submission. Bruno de Wannemaeker mentioned that this submission acts as a signal
to Council and Rob Weiland then made the suggestion that we should support the
concern raised in the submission.

On a vote of 36 in favour, 0 against and 1 abstention it was agreed to recommend
approving the submission.

**Opinion: Approve**

Support the concern raised in the submission.

(h) 010-10 Windsurfing and Kiteboarding Committee - Regulation 15.27

The Committee noted submission 010-10 from the International Kiteboarding
Association.

Corinne Rolland-McKenzie noted that the Oceanic and Offshore Committee have
specific terms of reference to deal with this and this approach would be more
appropriate for the Windsurfing and Kiteboarding Committee. She suggested that the
committee should support the principle of the submission in that the majority of
committee members should have specific expertise in the subject dealt with by the
committees. Helmut Czasny suggested that the chair of the committees and Executive
should prepare a profile of members. Jeff Martin proposed that the committee reject
the submission as committee members are nominated from Member National
Authorities but that the committee should support the principle of the submission.

On unanimous opinion it was agreed to reject the submission.

**Opinion: Reject**

But support the principle of the submission in that the majority of committee members
should have specific expertise in the subject dealt with by the committee.

It is recommended that, if not already completed, the Executive Committee prepare a
member profile to determine suitable candidates specific for each committee.

(i) 012-10 ISAF Appointments - ISAF Appointment of Judges and Umpires

The Committee noted submission 012-10 from the Chairman of the Race Officials
Committee

Rory Ramsden mentioned the events listed in current regulation 18.12.8 are not a
good place for training judges etc. George Wossala expressed support for the
submission along with Helmut Czasny who mentioned that in accordance with
Appendix N an International Jury of 5 allows 2 non-International Judges to be included.

On a vote of 30 in favour, 0 against and 0 abstentions it was agreed to recommend
approving the submission.

**Opinion: Approve**

(j) 013-10 Organizing Authority - Reference in Regulations

The Committee noted submission 013-08 from the Executive Committee

**Opinion: Approve**
The Committee noted submission 015-10 from the Executive Committee.

Vice-President, Alberto Predieri, gave an introduction to the history and formation of the new Advertising Code and presented a number of friendly submissions from the Executive Committee and explained that the amendment to 20.5.5 was in support of submission 017-10 and noted that submission 016-10 is against the suggested 20.8.1 in that the “only” was removed from the wording.

Chris Atkins spoke to submission 016-10 in that the intention was such that fees could vary for sponsored boats to reduce costs for those that do not display advertising or sponsorship.

Riccardo Simoneschi mentioned that in the current economic climate that it is difficult to get sponsorship and there should not be a penalty for displaying advertising but a prize! Steve Profitt agreed with the principle of an entry fee regardless of sponsorship.

Ceri Williams noted that “windsurfing” was missing in the suggested Regulation 20.4.4 and that this was normal practice for windsurfing events.

Ceri Williams then asked Alberto to explain 20.2.3.1 regarding prior authorization for displaying advertising. Alberto explained that this was a general clause rather than a specific list of events and also noted that the aim was not necessarily to raise money for ISAF but to catch the many varied situations for events and classes. Alberto stated that regulation 20.2.3 applies to owner and event advertising. A number of questions regarding the authorisation process and specific cases were raised. It was suggested this affects a significant number of classes. Riccardo Simoneschi stated that the word ‘sponsor’ covered many situations from financial to payment in kind.

**Opinion: Approve with the following amendment**

The ICC support the friendly amendments made in:

20.2.3.1 is automatically granted to ISAF Classes and Recognised systems, unless subject to Regulation 20.2.3.1.

20.4.4 At all windsurfing and kiteboarding events...

20.5 Subject to the provisions of Regulations 20.5.3 and 20.5.4, Except as provided in this Regulation 20.5...

20.5.5 The requirement to display the national flag or the name of the Competitor on a boat shall not be deemed to constitute a limitation of the right to display Advertising. Class rules and the rules of a System may permit or require a boat to display the national flag and the name of the Competitor on the mainsail and shall state the size and location for each. Such permission or requirement shall not be deemed to constitute a prohibition or a limitation of the right of the Competitor to display Advertising.

The Committee noted submission 016-10 from the Royal Yachting Association.

It was mentioned that the question of a variable fee structure for boats carrying advertising was discussed at length in last year’s ISAF Classes committee where it was agreed that the committee were not in favour of variable fees. This stance was maintained this year.
On a vote of 34 in favour, 0 against and 4 abstentions it was agreed to recommend rejecting the submission.

**Opinion: Reject**

(m) 017-10 ISAF Advertising Code - Sail Stickers for Sailor Identification

The Committee noted submission 017-10 from International 470 Class Association

It was noted that the friendly amendment in submission 015-10, regulation 20.5.5, covered the contents of this submission and Luissa Smith stated that the submission would be withdrawn in this case.

**Opinion: Approve**

The friendly amendment to Regulation 20.5.5 in submission 015-10 proposed at the ICC meeting satisfies this submission.

(n) 021-10 Athletes Commission - Regulation 26

The Committee noted submission 021-10 from International Kiteboarding Association

The committee noted that it would not be possible to have representation from all ISAF International Classes and suggested that there should be equal representation of Athletes from Olympic and Non-Olympic ISAF International Classes. Jeff Martin noted the Athletes Commission was an IOC requirement and this may not be possible.

On a vote of 32 in favour, 0 against and 4 abstentions it was agreed to recommend approving the submission with the suggested amendment:

**Opinion: Approve with the following amendment**

45.5.2 The Athletes Commission shall have equal representation from athletes of Olympic and Non-Olympic all ISAF International Classes.

(o) 022-10 Sailing Training Centres - Qualification and Classification for Training Centres

The Committee noted submission 022-10 from Royal Spanish Sailing Federation

**Opinion: Approve**

Supports the principle of the submission

(p) 058-10 Definition of Young, Youth and Senior - Clarification and Equalisation of Age for Classes

The Committee noted submission 058-10 from Royal Spanish Sailing Federation

Malav Shroff mentioned that the events committee had formed a small “working party” to look into the issues raised in the submission and supported the principle of standards for definition of youth. He mentioned ages of under 19 and under 20 as examples. Ceri Williams questioned how the working party was formed. Malav clarified that it was a group set up by the events committee rather than an appointed ISAF Working Party.

Steve Profitt mentioned that for the Cadet Class that it was under 17 and that a standard should not be set as each class treat this in a different way and stated that if the system is not broken then why try to fix it? Helen Mary Wilkes expressed severe reservations to the submission and to Malav’s group’s suggestion. Helmut Czasny commented that the Member National Authorities would like standardisation in this area. Riccardo Simoneschi felt that ISAF should not compare with other sports and
that it could be limited on a class basis. Rory Ramsden noted that ISAF Regulation 17.4.3 mentions an age of under 19 for the Youth Sailing World Championships.

Jurgen Tieman suggested that ISAF should take the age from the Youth Olympic Games definition. Luissa Smith mentioned that the IOC leave it to the International Federation to choose the age. Helen Mary Wilkes mentioned that the ISAF Youth Worlds and the Youth Olympic Games have different age limits for “Youth”.

Luissa Smith mentioned that the 2004 minutes of the Youth and Development Committee recommended that ISAF should develop guidelines.

Philip Tolhurst suggested that submission should be rejected with a request for a Working Party to be formed and that the classes should be consulted.

On a vote of 0 in favour, 37 against and 0 abstentions it was agreed to recommend rejecting the submission.

**Opinion: Reject**

An ICC working party to be formed with a representative from Development and Youth and Events Committees that will consult with all the classes and National Authorities who will prepare a paper presented to all.

(q) **064-10 Class World Championship Regulations - Restructure of Regulations 18 and 26**

The Committee noted submission 064-10 from Chairmen of the Equipment Committee and the Events Committee

Chris Atkins, chair of the Regulation 18 and 26 working party presented the submission with a summary of changes including simplification, replacing ‘Recognised’ and ‘International classes’ with ‘ISAF classes’, making entry requirements lower and addressing the ‘Classic’ classes. The submission had also addressed the requirements of global distribution to entitle a class to World Championships.

Chris also presented that the analysis had shown that 24 out of 99 classes did not meet the requirements of Regulation 18.2.1 with the majority being yachts.

In addition Chris explained the option in submission 065-10 stating that option 1 removes the fleet size requirement entirely for the primary world championships (i.e. not subsidiary discipline or age Worlds). Option 2 retains the requirements within submission 064-10 as is, and option 3 increases the participation requirements.

Jeff Martin reiterated that the ISAF Olympic Commission report suggested that the number of non-Olympic class World Championships did not have a negative impact on the sport.

Colin Smith noted that there was now an 8-countries requirement within the submission and stated that the class reports do not acquire data for this.

Steve Profitt noted that the 3 year average participation requirement for option 1 of submission 065-10 had been removed and Chris understood that this was difficult to maintain if a class chooses a less popular World Championships location. Corinne Rolland-McKenzie stated that option 1 was not as liberal as it first seemed due to the global distribution requirements to hold a World Championships. Malav Shroff stated that the subsidiary requirements were still high.

George Andreadis (observer) noted the requirements for Classic classes was vague and that a more objective criteria should be set.

After the discussion Chris agreed that the working party should continue its work and work with the classes for a new submission in November 2011.
On a vote of 40 in favour, 0 against and 1 abstention it was agreed to recommend rejecting the submission.

**Opinion: Reject**

On the basis that this submission needs further work before introduction and that 2 ICC members are put on to the working party to present an amended submission in 2011.

(r) 065-10 Class World Championship Regulations - World Championship Requirements

The Committee noted submission 065-10 from the Chairmen of the Equipment Committee and the Events Committee

See discussion on 064-10.

On a vote of 40 in favour, 0 against and 1 abstention it was agreed to recommend deferring the submission.

**Opinion: Reject**

On the basis that this submission needs further work before introduction and that 2 ICC members are put on to the working party to present an amended submission in 2011.

(s) 066-10 49er Gennakers for Use at the 2012 Olympic Sailing Competition -

The Committee noted submission 066-10 from the Chairman of the Equipment Committee

**Opinion: Approve**

(t) 067-10 The Equipment Rules of Sailing - Introduction - Preamble

The Committee noted submission 067-10 from the Chairman of the Equipment Committee

**Opinion: Approve**

The ICC support the work of the ERS Working Party

(u) 068-10 The Equipment Rules of Sailing - Introduction - Terminology

The Committee noted submission 068-10 from the Chairman of the Equipment Committee

**Opinion: Approve**

The ICC support the work of the ERS Working Party

(v) 069-10 The Equipment Rules of Sailing - Introduction - Status

The Committee noted submission 069-10 from the Chairman of the Equipment Committee

**Opinion: Approve**

The ICC supports the work of the ERS Working Party

(w) 070-10 The Equipment Rules of Sailing - Introduction - Applicability

The Committee noted submission 070-10 from the Chairman of the Equipment Committee
Opinion: Approve
The ICC supports the work of the ERS Working Party

(x) 071-10 The Equipment Rules of Sailing - Introduction - Changes
The Committee noted submission 071-10 from the Chairman of the Equipment Committee

Opinion: Approve
The ICC supports the work of the ERS Working Party

(y) 072-10 The Equipment Rules of Sailing - Part 1 - USE OF EQUIPMENT and New APPENDIX 1
The Committee noted submission 072-10 from the Chairman of the Equipment Committee

Opinion: Approve
The ICC supports the work of the ERS Working Party

(z) 073-10 The Equipment Rules of Sailing - B.10 - CENTRE OF GRAVITY
The Committee noted submission 073-10 from the Chairman of the Equipment Committee

Opinion: Approve
The ICC supports the work of the ERS Working Party

(aa) 074-10 The Equipment Rules of Sailing - New B1 - POSITION OF EQUIPMENT
The Committee noted submission 074-10 from the Chairman of the Equipment Committee

Opinion: Approve
The ICC supports the work of the ERS Working Party

(bb) 075-10 The Equipment Rules of Sailing - C.2.1 Class Rules
The Committee noted submission 075-10 from the Chairman of the Equipment Committee

Opinion: Approve
The ICC supports the work of the ERS Working Party

(cc) 076-10 The Equipment Rules of Sailing - C.3.2 Certify
The Committee noted submission 076-10 from the Chairman of the Equipment Committee

Opinion: Approve
The ICC supports the work of the ERS Working Party

(dd) 077-10 The Equipment Rules of Sailing - C.3.3 Certificate
The Committee noted submission 077-10 from the Chairman of the Equipment Committee

Opinion: Approve
The ICC supports the work of the ERS Working Party

(ee) 078-10 The Equipment Rules of Sailing - C.3.4 Certification Mark
    The Committee noted submission 078-10 from the Chairman of the Equipment Committee
    **Opinion: Approve**
    The ICC supports the work of the ERS Working Party

(ff) 079-10 The Equipment Rules of Sailing - C.4.4 Official Measurer
    The Committee noted submission 079-10 from the Chairman of the Equipment Committee
    **Opinion: Approve**
    The ICC supports the work of the ERS Working Party

(gg) 080-10 The Equipment Rules of Sailing - C.5.4 Personal Flotation Device
    The Committee noted submission 080-10 from the Chairman of the Equipment Committee
    **Opinion: Approve**
    The ICC supports the work of the ERS Working Party

(hh) 081-10 The Equipment Rules of Sailing - C.6.1 Boat
    The Committee noted submission 081-10 from the Chairman of the Equipment Committee
    **Opinion: Approve**
    The ICC supports the work of the ERS Working Party

(ii) 082-10 The Equipment Rules of Sailing - F.1.4 Spar Types
    The Committee noted submission 082-10 from the Chairman of the Equipment Committee
    **Opinion: Approve**
    The ICC supports the work of the ERS Working Party

(jj) 083-10 The Equipment Rules of Sailing - G.1.3 Sail Types
    The Committee noted submission 083-10 from the Chairman of the Equipment Committee
    **Opinion: Approve**
    The ICC supports the work of the ERS Working Party

(kk) 084-10 The Equipment Rules of Sailing
    The Committee noted submission 084-10 from the Chairman of the Equipment Committee
    **Opinion: Approve**
    The ICC supports the work of the ERS Working Party

(II) 085-10 The Equipment Rules of Sailing - G.1.4(m) Window
The Committee noted submission 085-10 from the Chairman of the Equipment Committee

**Opinion: Approve**

The ICC supports the work of the ERS Working Party

(mm) 086-10 The Equipment Rules of Sailing - G.3 SAIL CORNERS

The Committee noted submission 086-10 from Chairman of the Equipment Committee

**Opinion: Approve**

The ICC supports the work of the ERS Working Party

(nn) 087-10 The Equipment Rules of Sailing - H.1 CERTIFICATION CONTROL

The Committee noted submission 087-10 from the Chairman of the Equipment Committee

**Opinion: Approve**

The ICC supports the work of the ERS Working Party

(oo) 088-10 The Equipment Rules of Sailing - H.5.1 Conditions of Sail

The Committee noted submission 088-10 from the Chairman of the Equipment Committee

**Opinion: Approve**

The ICC supports the work of the ERS Working Party

(pp) 089-10 The Equipment Rules of Sailing - H.5.3 Excluding Attachments

The Committee noted submission 089-10 from the Chairman of the Equipment Committee

**Opinion: Approve**

The ICC supports the work of the ERS Working Party

(qq) 095-10 Olympic Sailing Competition Scoring System -

The Committee noted submission 095-10 from the RS:X Class Association

**Opinion: Approve**

The ICC supports the recommendation from the Olympic Classes Sub-committee to approve the submission.

(rr) 106-10 ISAF Sailing World Championships - ISAF Sailing World Championships as Olympic Qualifiers

The Committee noted submission 106-10 from the Executive Committee based on the recommendations of the Olympic Commission.

**Opinion: Approve**

The ICC supports the recommendation from the Olympic Classes Sub-committee to approve the submission.

(ss) 107-10 Olympic Qualification - Regulation 16.2
The Committee noted submission 107-10 from the International Kiteboarding Association

Richard Gowers from the IKA introduced their submission stating that the Olympic Qualification should be for the top 10 competitors regardless of the number of competitors from a given country. It was suggested that this should be referred to the Olympic Commission. It was noted that sailing is not regarded as a team sport.

On a vote of 30 in favour, 3 against and 3 abstentions it was agreed to have no recommendation to the submission.

**Opinion: No Recommendation**

(tt) 108-10 Olympic Sailing Competition 2016 - Regional Olympic Qualifier


**Opinion: Approve**

The ICC supports the recommendation from the Olympic Classes Sub-committee to approve the submission.

(uu) 109-10 ISAF Sailing World Cup - New Regulation 17.3 - ISAF Sailing World Cup

The Committee noted submission 109-10 from the Executive Committee based on the recommendations of the Olympic Commission.

**Opinion: Approve with the following amendment**

The ICC supports the recommendation from the Olympic Classes Sub-committee to approve with the following amendment: The Olympic Classes Sub-committee supports the submission in principle.

(vv) 110-10 Sailing World Cup Hosts 2013-2016 - "3.5 Model"

The Committee noted submission 110-10 from US Sailing

The committee had no opinion as 109-10 had been adopted by the ICC.

**Opinion: No Recommendation**

(ww) 111-10 Sailing World Cup Grand Final -

The Committee noted submission 111-10 from International 49er Class, International 470 Class Association, International Finn Association, International Laser Radial Class Association, International Laser Class Association, International Star Class Yacht Racing Association

**Opinion: Approve**

The ICC supports the recommendation from the Olympic Classes Sub-committee to approve the submission.

(xx) 112-10 Class World Championships for Olympic Classes - Limitations on World Championships for Olympic Classes

The Committee noted submission 112-10 from the Executive Committee based on the recommendations of the Olympic Commission.

**Opinion: Reject**
The ICC supports the recommendation from the Olympic Classes Sub-committee to reject the submission.

(yy) 113-10 World Championship of the Olympic Classes - World Championships or Grand Final

The Committee noted submission 113-10 from US Sailing

**Opinion: Reject**

The ICC supports the recommendation from the Olympic Classes Sub-committee to reject the submission.

(zz) 114-10 ISAF Sailing World Championships - Regulation 17.2

Submission Withdrawn

(aaa) 115-10 World Championship Events - Regulation 18.3

The Committee noted submission 115-10 from the International Kiteboarding Association

**Opinion: Defer**

Refer to Regulation 18 & 26 Working Party work on new submissions 064-10 and 065-10

(bbb) 116-10 ISAF Classes World Championships -

The Committee noted submission 116-10 from the Chairmen of the Equipment Committee and the Events Committee

**Opinion: Approve**

(ccc) 117-10 World Sailing Rankings - Definitive Rankings

The Committee noted submission 117-10 from the International 49er Class, International 470 Class Association, International Finn Association, International Laser Radial Class Association, International Laser Class Association, International Star Class Yacht Racing Association

**Opinion: Approve with the following amendment**

The ISAF website shall promote the ISAF Sailing World Rankings

(ddd) 133-10 ORC Limited Offshore Racing Congress - Regulation 18.7 and Regulation 29.1, 29.2

The Committee noted submission 133-10 from the Executive Committee

**Opinion: No Recommendation**

(eee) 134-10 Designation as an International or Recognized Class - Regulation 26.2

The Committee noted submission 134-10 from the Chairmen of the Oceanic and Offshore Committee

**Opinion: Approve**

(fff) 186-10 Racing Rules of Sailing - Appendix B

The Committee noted submission 186-10 from the Chairmen of the Windsurfing and Kiteboarding Committee

**Opinion: No Recommendation**
The ICC believe this should be handled by the relevant committee

(ggg) 187-10 Racing Rules of Sailing - Appendix BB
The Committee noted submission 187-10 from the International Kiteboarding Association

**Opinion: No Recommendation**
The ICC believe this should be handled by the relevant committee

(hhh) 188-10 Racing Rules of Sailing - Appendix BB
The Committee noted submission 188-10 from the Chairmen of the Windsurfing and Kiteboarding Committee

**Opinion: No Recommendation**
The ICC believe this should be handled by the relevant committee

(iii) 228-10 Racing Rules of Sailing - Appendix N - International Juries
The Committee noted submission 228-10 from the Royal Spanish Sailing Federation
The committee showed support and sympathy for the submission citing that the cost of the jury is comparatively high at most regattas. In addition this promotes training of jury members.
Malav Shroff suggested that this should be supported with the exception of Olympic Class World and Continental Championship and ISAF Events
On a vote of 38 in favour, 2 against and 0 abstentions it was agreed to recommend approving the submission.

**Opinion: Approve with the following amendment**
With the exception of Olympic Class World and Continental Championships and ISAF Events

4. **Recommendations Not Based on Submissions**

(a) ISAF Classes Committee Agenda Item: AOB - Olympic Commission Report

**Council Agenda Item 17 (g)**

*The ICC supports the recommendation of the Olympic Classes Sub-committee as follows:*

*The Olympic Classes Sub-committee recommends that the Olympic Commission continues to exist to fully develop the Olympic event structure, including the Sailing World Cup, and liaise with ISAF Committees.*

*The Olympic Classes Sub-committee have jointly agreed with the Olympic Commission that:*

i) *Class structure for Olympic sailing needs to exist in the long term.*

ii) *There will be one ISAF World Sailing Ranking which includes all sailors participating across all graded events.*

iii) *A Grand Final of the Sailing World Cup should be introduced from 2013.*

iv) *In the absence of continental Sailing World Cup events in 2015 the Classes will run the second Olympic qualifiers.*
5. **Olympic Commission Report**

Chris Atkins, Olympic Commission member, gave a verbal report on the work of the Olympic Commission that culminated in a number of reports and submissions this year. Chris recounted the relevant information from a meeting with the IOC regarding Olympic Sailing which included much feedback including aspects such as Sailing being different in the Olympics and that it offers spectators a “lifestyle” event. He then described that we simply need to do things better when it comes to Olympic Sailing within ISAF. Chris then went on to describe the Olympic Commission’s event structure leading to Olympic qualification.

Stephen Parry then noted that ISAF has conflicting objectives in that it wants to increase number of classes and global appeal but reduce the number of World Championships. Riccardo Simoneschi thanked the Olympic Commission for their work and stated that this is new sights on an old argument and questioned why ISAF has not taken action. He then questioned what ISAF structure can make this happen? Chris replied that it is a balance between strategy and the submissions Council can vote on.


Patrick Bergmans, chairman of the technology working party gave a verbal report on the work to date regarding tracking on boats. Patrick first introduced how tracking technology had moved so fast in the last 5 years. He then described how in most sports there is a one dimensional requirement for tracking (cycling for example) but sailing has 2 dimensions. This can make it difficult to convey and broadcast tracking information.

Patrick raised the question of OCS capabilities with tracking devices and mentioned that an accuracy of 30 to 50 centimetres is required at a frequency of 3hz (3 times a second), this type of tracking is expensive and therefore OCS is not a cost effective reality at present.

Patrick then went on to describe a common XRR and XML format for exchanging tracking and scoring information with a standard stream of data. This standard should be available quite soon.

Patrick described personal tracking devices and how these can be purchased for between 100 and 200 Euros.

Paul Depoorter questioned how important is live transmission and display of data. Patrick replied that there can be a variable delay of around 1 minute but this was not too critical and to make it quicker would be too expensive.

Rob Weiland stated that simple tracking was not enough to capture the non-sailing audience and that even sailors are only interested for a couple of minutes. He suggested that any tracking system is a long road but that it should be standardized for as broad a base as possible.

Ken Kershaw raised the question of offshore tracking and mentioned that existing emergency systems and AIS could be suitable. Patrick replied that they are also considering these technologies within the work.

7. **Any Other Business**

David Sprague reminded the classes to promote International Measurers and it was the classes obligation to do this. He stated that this will produce better racing for sailors.

There being no further business the meeting was closed at 18:42.